A mass-dependent density profile for dark matter haloes including the influence of galaxy formation: cusp vs cores in real galaxies

Arianna Di Cintio^{1,2*}, C. B. Brook¹, A. V. Macciò³, A. A. Dutton³, G. S. Stinson³, A. Knebe¹

¹ Universidad Autonoma Madrid ² DARK Copenhagen ³ MPIA *arianna.dicintio@uam.es

> Di Cintio, Brook +14a, MNRAS, 437, 415 Di Cintio, Brook +14b, MNRAS, 441, 2986 Brook, Di Cintio +14, ApJ, 784, L14 Brook & Di Cintio 2014 submitted

> > Potsdam - August 2014

Observations predict 'CORES'



Cusp/core problem

(see Pontzen & Governato 14 for review)

Can baryons help?

Making Galaxies in a Cosmological Context MaGICC project Stinson+13, Brook+12

(Brook+12b, Maccio'+12, Penzo+14, Herpich+14, Kannan+14, Obreja+14 etc)

Hydrodynamical simulations of galaxies including dark matter, gas, stars and..

.. feedback from SNe and massive stars

Stinson+06,+13



Inner slope dependence on M_{*}/M_{halo}



see Pontzen & Governato 12 for core creation mechanism

Di Cintio+14a

CLUES + ChaNGa + Gasoline at z=1.2



Peak in CORE formation efficiency



Energy balance between SNe energy and potential energy of NFW halo. Flattest profiles expected at $M_{\star}\text{--}10^{-8.5}$ M $_{\odot}$



Cusp/core abundance matching



For $M_* > 10^{8.5} M_{\odot}$ increasingly CUSPY profiles For M_* approaching $10^{8.5} M_{\odot}$ increasingly COREP profiles (se

(see also Governato+10)

Predictions for observed galaxies



THINGS galaxy survey $10^7 < M^*/M_{sun} < 10^9$, provides mean $\gamma = -0.3$ (Oh+08, Oh+11) Flattest profiles in galaxies with $V_{rot} \sim 50 km/s$ Clear observations of cores in LSB galaxies with $V_{rot} < 100 km/s$ (de Blok+08, Kuzio de Naray+08,+09)

A double power law profile

$$\rho(r) = \frac{\rho_s}{\left(\frac{r}{r_s}\right)^{\gamma} \left[1 + \left(\frac{r}{r_s}\right)^{\alpha}\right]^{(\beta - \gamma)/\alpha}}$$

 γ inner slope β outer slope α sharpness of transition

NFW: $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (1, 3, 1)$

$$\rho(r) = \frac{\rho_0}{\frac{r}{R_s} \left(1 + \frac{r}{R_s}\right)^2}$$



α, β, γ constrained via M_{*}/M_{halo}



Mass dependent DM profile



Derived rotation curves



Di Cintio+14b

Concentration-mass relation



NFW halo with high concentration c≈18-20 fits well MW data see Battaglia+05, Catena&Ulli10, Deason+12, Nesti&Salucci13

Mass function of the Local Group



LG simulations CLUES-Gottloeber +10 ELVIS-Garrison-Kimmel+14 LG analogue-Sawala+14

N(>M_{halo}) is a well defined power law

There are 40-50 halos bigger than 7*10^9 M_{sun} a region where ALL halos have been shown to form stars in simulations

Brook, Di Cintio +14

Abundance matching in the Local Group



Brook, Di Cintio +14

M_{\star}/M_{halo} in the LG

AndXXVII AndXXIII SagDirr UrsaMinor LeoT DC14 NFW AndXVI LGS3 IC1613 Carina Tucana C WLM AndXXX AndXIX AndVI Sextans Andl AndIX Leoll AndXV Aquarius AndXXIX Sculptor AndV Cetus Andll AndXXV LeoA AndVII AndXXVIII Leol AndXXI Can.Ven.I AndXIV Fornax Pegasus W124 Draco AndXVII Sagittarius AndIII

Kirby+14 Tollerud+14 Wolf+10

M_{\star}/M_{halo} in the LG with NFW



Too big to fail haloes

see also TBTF in the Local Group

Garrison-Kimmel+14 Kirby+14 Tollerud+14

M_{\star}/M_{halo} in the LG with mass-dependent profile



Too big to fail haloes

see effects of tides with baryons

Peñarrubia+10 Zolotov+12 Brooks+13 Arraki+13



Conclusions

✓ Baryonic physic DOES affect dark matter profiles in galaxies

 \checkmark There is a peak in core formation efficiency, cored-most galaxies at M_{*}=10^8.5

Mass dependent dark matter profile to be used in observations and semi-analytic models as the theoretical framework to understand the cusp-core PICHOTOMY

 \checkmark Looking at the ensemble of LG galaxies provides a way to do it

...and of course we solved the FBTF problem. ...and or course we some conference... as everyone else at the conference... Di Cintio, Brook +14a, MNRAS, 437, 415 Di Cintio, Brook +14b, MNRAS, 441, 2986 Brook, Di Cintio +14, ApJ, 784, L14 Brook & Di Cintio 2014 submitted

